Today’s Agenda

1. Background on Cash Balance
2. Interest Crediting Rules
3. Funding & Top-25 Issues
4. Plan Documents
5. Design Case Study
What is a Cash Balance Plan?

- Defined Benefit Plan
- Benefit = Notional Account
  - Assets are not divided into individual accounts
  - Account is on paper only
  - IRS: “accumulated benefit”
- Interest credit on Notional Account
  - E.g., 3% annual interest credit
- Interest credit may (or may not) match investment return on Plan assets

Cash Balance Example

- 1/1/2015 Account Balance: $300,000
- Annual principal credit: $25,000
- Annual interest credit:
  - 2015: $300,000 * 3% = $9,000
- 12/31/2015 Account Balance: $334,000
Cash Balance Usage

• What does a Cash Balance Plan do well?

1. Provides significant tax deferral
   - Generally not appropriate for lower-dollar employers, for whom a DC approach might work better
   - Stand-alone, or supplement to a DC plan

2. Easy-to-understand benefit
   - Participants like simplicity
   - CB statement is analogous to 401(k) statement

3. Can generate flat annual contributions for principals
   - Depends on link between investments & interest credits
   - Appropriate to employers with income stability

4. Favorable non-discrimination for principals
   - 35% discount on CB contributions, compared to DC plan contributions
Cash Balance Usage

• What does a Cash Balance Plan do well?

5. Divides costs easily among multiple principals
   - Principal benefit = account balance
   - Principal cost = funding of account balance
   - Staff costs easily assignable by employee
   - Not true with traditional DB plan, since varying ages of principals will generate different lump sum values

Cash Balance Usage

• What does a Cash Balance Plan do well?

6. Branded design
   - Common, well-known product
   - Legal affirmation in PPA
   - 2014 final regulations reinforce legality and regulatory acceptance of designs
   - Lots of administrative support in industry
Cash Balance Usage

• What is a Cash Balance Plan **NOT** good at?

1. Targeting certain levels of income
   - Traditional DB plan better with income target
   - E.g., 10% of IRC 415 limit
   - CB plans better with savings targets

2. Covering younger staff employees
   - Better non-discrimination value in DC plan

3. Providing top-heavy minimum benefits
   - Top-heavy benefits more expensive in CB than in DC
   - CB top-heavy benefit is quadruple the 401(a)(26) threshold
   - Must track lump sum value, rather than balance

4. Satisfying 401(a)(26)
   - Must cover 40% of workforce (or 50 parts, if smaller)
   - Staff coverage expensive, particularly for older employees
   - Best if principals meet 40% / 50 requirement
Cash Balance Components

Two components of any Cash Balance Plan:

1. Principal credits
   - Or “pay credits” or “contribution credits”
   - Usually flat dollar (e.g., $50,000) or % of pay
   - Lightly regulated

2. Interest credits
   - Heavily regulated...

Cash Balance Interest Rates

- Regulatory approach: Prescriptive
  - IRS dictates specific interest rates available
  - Interest rates outside IRS list cannot be used
  - 2014 regs: IRS delegated the ability to issue future guidance to expand list of acceptable interest rates
    - May see gradual expansions of possibilities
Cash Balance Interest Rates

Acceptable Interest Rates:

1. Fixed: up to 6.0%
   - 2014 regs increased from 5.0%

2. Treasury yields:
   - Yields + fixed basis points
   - See listing in regs
   - E.g., 5-year Treasury yield + 25 basis points

Cash Balance Interest Rates

Acceptable Interest Rates:

3. Segment rates:
   - MAP-21/HATFA or Unadjusted
   - First, second or third

4. Investment return on plan assets:
   - 2014 regs: return on all plan assets, or on subset, of plan assets
Cash Balance Interest Rates

- Acceptable Interest Rates:
  5. Investment return on mutual funds:
     - Must be broad-based
     - Not significantly more volatile than US markets
     - E.g., no industry sector
  6. Annuity contract rates

- Acceptable Minimum Interest Rates:
  a. Treasury yields: up to 5.0% annually
     - E.g., Max of 30-year Treasury and 5.0%
     - Minimum applies to each year
  b. Corporate bond yields: up to 4.0% annually
     - E.g., Max of first segment & 4.0%
     - Minimum applies to each year
Cash Balance Interest Rates

- Acceptable **Minimum** Interest Rates:
  
  c. Return on Plan Assets: up to 3.0% cumulatively
  - E.g., Return on plan assets, not less than 3.0%
  - Does NOT apply annually
  - Applies on cumulative basis
  - Applies at distribution only
  
  d. Return on mutual funds:
  - Same as for Return on Plan Assets

Cash Balance Interest Rates

- How to credit almost any index or return:
  - Suppose you want to credit the return on VICEX, a mutual fund investing solely in sin stocks like tobacco, gambling and alcohol
  - Credit the VICEX return, capped by 6%
  - Or credit the VICEX return, capped by 3rd segment
    - In general, capping with a compliant rate (6%, 3rd segment rate, or something else) makes it compliant
Investment Direction?

- Can Investment Direction be provided?
  - Suggested by IRS in 2010 regulations
  - 2014 regulations:
    “It is possible that the Treasury Department and the IRS will conclude that such plan designs are not permitted.”
    This follows 4 pages of criticism of investment direction.
    We take this as “No.”

What are ACOPA Actuaries Doing?

- ACOPA survey on Cash Balance Plans
  - Conducted in summer 2014
  - Respondents: 128
  - Number of CB Plan: 5,600
ACOPA Cash Balance Survey

- Portion of CB Plans with FIXED Interest Credit

![Bar Chart]

Responses: 127

ACOPA Cash Balance Survey

- What is FIXED Interest Credit?

![Bar Chart]

Responses: 124
### ACCOPA Cash Balance Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses: 127</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Plan’s Rate of Return Interest Crediting Rate**
- **None**
- **Little**
- **Some**
- **Majority**
- **Mostly**
- **All**

### Accrued Benefit

- **Must define CB Plan’s “Accrued Benefit”**
  - IRS: Accrued Benefit must be annuity commencing at normal retirement age (“NRA”)
  - Almost always, CB Plan’s Accrued Benefit is:
    - The current account balance,
    - Projected to NRA,
    - And then converted to an annuity
Accrued Benefit

Why discuss the Accrued Benefit?

- All the recordkeeping and reporting will be based on the account balance
- Participants will almost always take the lump sum

Because the Accrued Benefit is the basis for:

- Non-discrimination testing
- IRS benefit limits ("415" limits)
- Accrual rules

Calculating the Accrued Benefit

- \( AB = Account \times (1 + \text{Interest})^{(\text{NRA} - \text{attain age})}, \) divided by \( \text{APV(NRA)} \)

Important variables:

- Interest = projected interest crediting rate
- NRA: usually age 62 or age 65
- \( \text{APV(NRA)} = \text{PV at plan’s stated mortality and interest rate as stipulated in plan document} \)
Accrued Benefit

- Projection of Interest Credit
  - IRS verbal position:
    - Project interest at current year’s rate
    - Does it make sense to project a one-year return for all future years?
      - 2014 S&P 500 return: 13.7%
      - Project for all years after 2014 at 13.7%?

Accrued Benefit

- Selection of Normal Retirement Age
  - Why use age 62?
    - Easier to manage 415 limits
  - Why use age 65?
    - Three extra years of interest lowers 401(a)(26) compliance cost
    - Lower gateway results
    - Three fewer years of post-NRA actuarial increases
ACOPA Cash Balance Survey

Fixed Interest Rate (e.g., 5%) for Annuity Conversion

- Mostly: 64%
- Majority: 30%
- Some: 4%
- None: 2%

Responses: 125

Segment Rate for Annuity Conversion

- Mostly: 16%
- Majority: 39%
- Some: 41%
- None: 4%

Responses: 125
**ACOPA Cash Balance Survey**

- **Treasury Rate for Annuity Conversion**
  - Mostly: 19%
  - Majority: 29%
  - Some: 49%
  - None: 3%
  - Responses: 125

---

**Funding Rules**

- **Minimum Required Contribution**
  - First year: Target Normal Cost (TNC) \(^{(1)}\)
  - Second & later years:
    - TNC + Amortization of any unfunded Target Liability (TL) minus any overfunding of TL \(^{(2)}\)
  - TNC = present value of principal credit \(^{(3)}\)
  - TL = present value of balance account \(^{(3)}\)

1. Assumes no past service
2. But not less than zero
3. Generally
Funding Rules

Example: Target Normal Cost

- Pay credit = $100,000
- Does the TNC = $100,000?
  - Probably not!
  - Must take Present Value of pay credit
  - Could be higher or lower than $100,000

- Same issue with Funding Target & account balances

Funding Rules

Valuation Process for Cash Balance Plan

1. Set expected payment date
   - E.g., NRA (if that’s reasonable)
2. Set assumed future interest credit
   - Fixed rate (e.g., 5%): no choice
   - Variable rate: make assumption!
     - Regulation: reasonableness, based on plan experience, and best estimate of future experience
Funding Rules

Example 1

- Assumed crediting rate 5%
- MAP-21 for 2016: 4.43% / 5.91% / 6.65%
- Expected payment date: 12 years after current plan year
- Pay credit $100,000
- Credit posted at EOY, valuation date is BOY
- Projected pay credit = $100,000 * 1.05^12 = $179,586
- TNC = $179,586 ÷ 1.0591^13 = $85,132
- TNC is only 85% of pay credit!

Example 2

- Same as Example 1, except unadjusted (non-MAP-21)
- Rates for 2016: 1.34% / 4.03% / 5.06%
- Projected pay credit = $100,000 * 1.05^12 = $179,586
- TNC = $179,586 ÷ 1.0403^13 = $107,449
- TNC is 107% of pay credit
  - For maximum deduction, that’s a good thing
  - For PBGC (if PBGC-covered), that’s a bad thing
Funding Rules

- Potential Valuation Issues:
  1. Minimum required exceeds pay credits
     - With HATFA, not as likely
     - But HATFA will wear off starting in 2018
     - Look for further Congressional extensions?

- Potential Valuation Issues:
  2. If PBGC-covered, PBGC liability exceeds CB accounts
     - As in Example 2
     - Use part of next year’s contribution for current year
       - Fund a portion of next year’s pay credits mid-year
       - Can still deduct next year’s pay credits for next year, even though they appear on this year’s Schedule SB
     - See 2011 EA Gray Book, Q&A  7
Funding Rules

- Potential Valuation Issues:
  3. Deduction allowed is less than pay credits
     - Generally an issue in first year
     - First year: rely on “at-risk” calculation
     - Second and third year an issue if plan is re-establishment following plan termination and under 100 participants
     - Generally not an issue otherwise due to cushion

Top 25 Restrictions

- Highest 25-Paid Employees
  - If Account Balance > 1% of Plan liability, and not 110% funded, generally single-sum distributions can only be made within restrictive agreements, like escrow accounts
  - If Plan liability is 110% funded, restrictions don’t apply
    - EA Gray Book 2013: can use MAP-21 Funding Target
    - Measured as if distribution already made
    - Can use mid-year measurements of FT and Assets
Top 25 Restrictions

Example T-25:

- Ten (10) participants with $50,000 each
  - First 9 participants: expected payment date in 9 years
  - Last participant: expected payment date now
- Value of Plan assets = $500,000
  - Account Balances equal Plan assets
- Interest credit: 4.75%
- Second segment rate (2016 MAP-21): 5.91%

Example T-25 (can't):

- Funding Target
  - 9 parts: $450,000 * (1.0475^9) ÷ (1.0591^9) = $407,536
  - Last part: $50,000
  - Total liability = $407,536 + $50,000 = $457,536
- AFTAP = $500,000 ÷ $457,536 = 109.28%
- But Top-25 is AFTER anticipated distribution:
  - Top-25: $450,000 ÷ $407,536 = 110.42%
- Since 110%, distribution is unrestricted
Interest Rate = Actual Return

- Assets and liabilities match each other
  - Can deposit pay credits, and account balances are based on actual investment earnings
    - Just like money purchase plan
  - But not exactly:
    - Preservation of capital
    - Likely need interest cap to pass 401(a)(4) & 415
    - Timing of deposits may be restricted

Interest Rate = Actual Return

- Interest crediting rate can be Negative!
  - If interest credit a flat rate, or tied to outside index, what happens when an investment loss occurs?
    1. Plan sponsor contributes additional amounts
    2. Principals complain about that!
  - If crediting Actual Return, investment loss is passed through to account balance
    1. Assets and liabilities remain in alignment
    2. Principals not disturbed by any cash calls
  - Watch out for Preservation of Capital
Interest Rate = Actual Return

• Challenges for Actual Return (or mutual fund return)
  1. Greater administrative work
  2. Uncertainty with accrued benefit
  3. Potential difficulties with Top-25 lump sums
  4. Potentially lower 415 Limits
  5. Potentially harder to pass 401(a)(4)
  6. Potentially harder to meet 401(a)(26)
  7. Timing of contributions could be restricted

Plan Documents

➢ In the past, CB plans had to be individually designed
  • Needed customize document
➢ IRS has opened M&P possibilities for cash balance plans, although some restrictions on use
➢ More to come...
Case Study

- Two partners:
  - 5.0% of pay PS contribution
  - Want to maximize tax deferral
- Two associates:
  - No profit-sharing contribution
  - In separate 401(k) plan to avoid top-heavy minimum
- Staff:
  - 5.0% of pay profit-sharing contribution
  - 1.5% of pay matching contribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Pay</th>
<th>HCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$265,000</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>265,000</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Study

Demographics tell us...

1. Match is **not** helpful to partner contributions
   - Convert match to profit-sharing
   - May be sufficient for gateway – need analysis

2. Older partner will get sizable CB
   - Staff is young
   - Need reasonably high profit-sharing contributions

3. Younger partner benefits will be below IRS limit
   - Unless they hire some millennials

4. Combined plan limit drives partner profit-sharing
   - Partner profit-sharing will be small

5. Staff CB Plan coverage necessary to meet 401(a)(26)
   - 2 partners + 2 staff meets 40%
   - CB coverage for youngest staff (least expensive)
   - Grant minimum CB Plan benefit under 401(a)(26)
   - Treat CB benefits as add-on, rather than reducing profit-sharing
### Case Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>401(k)</th>
<th>Profit-Sharing</th>
<th>Cash Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$14,800</td>
<td>$136,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>14,800</td>
<td>48,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 1</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 2</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 1</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 2</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>4,550</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 3</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>4,550</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 4</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Case Study

- **Why no Top-Heavy contributions for Associates?**
  - Associates in separate 401(k) plan
    - No keys in separate 401(k) plan
    - Separate 401(k) plan does not help the other 401(k) plan or the CB Plan pass non-discrim
      - Therefore, no required aggregation group!
      - See IRC 416(g)(2)(A)(i)(II)
Case Study

- More on Separate 401(k) Plans
  - When associate promoted to owner, must transfer account balance out of separate plan
  - If associate marries a partner, must transfer balance
    - Hopefully, this is a known event
    - Partnership agreement may stipulate disclosure
  - Must perform two non-discrimination tests:
    1. Combination of two plans
      - Ensures Associate-only plan passes (aggregated)
    2. CB Plan + Staff/Partner 401(k) plan
      - Ensures stand-alone pass for these two plans

Determination of NAR

- Age 50 HCE CB pay credit of $136,000
  - Increase from age 50 to testing age (age 62) at interest crediting rate of 4% = $217,740
  - Divide by APR using plan rates (5%, 2015 417(e) table) at age 62 = 156.5952
  - Accrued benefit = $217,740 / 156.5952 = $1,390
  - Normal accrual rate = $1,390 * 12 / $265,000 = 6.3%
Determination of NAR

- HCE 1 allocation of $14,800
- Increase from age 50 to age 62 at 8.5% = $39,393
- Divide by APR (1971 GAM male, 8.5%, age 62) = 101.7180
- Equivalent benefit = $39,393 / 101.7180 = $387
- Equivalent benefit accrual rate (EBAR) = $387 * 12 / $265,000 = 1.8%

Case Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>PS EBAR</th>
<th>CB Normal EBAR</th>
<th>Tot Normal EBAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 1</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 2</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 3</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 4</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Study

1. We pass 401(a)(26)
   - Four CB Plan participants with 0.5% or higher EBARs
   - Four ≥ 40% of eight participant

2. Easy pass on Normal EBARs
   - One-to-one rate group coverage: 100% ratio!

3. We pass combined plan deduction limit
   - Total coverage payroll = $830,000 (omit Associates’ pay)
   - 6% of $830,000 = $49,800
   - Our PS total is $49,100

Determination of MVAR

- Age 50 HCE CB pay credit of $136,000
  - Convert to 50% joint-and-survivor annuity: divide by APR using plan rates (5%, 2015 417(e) table) at age 50 = 200.3952
  - 50% J&S immediate benefit = $136,000 / 200.3952 = $679
  - Take PV at testing assumptions = 129.0372 * $679 = $87,572
  - Increase to age 62 = $87,572 * 1.085\(^{62-50}\) = $233,090
  - Convert to age-62 annuity = $233,090 / 101.7180 = $2,292
  - Most valuable accrual rate = $2,292 * 12 / $265,000 = 10.4%
  - Add profit-sharing accrual rate = 10.4% + 1.8% = 12.1%
Determination of Gateway

- Age 50 HCE CB pay credit of $136,000 and $14,800 PS
  - Take present value of NAR benefit, using testing assumptions: $1,390 \times 101.7180 / 1.085^{(62-50)} = $53,120
  - Add PS contribution: $53,120 + $14,800 = $67,920
  - Gateway = $67,920 / $265,000 = 25.6%
  - Note: PV of $136,000 credit is $53,120 >> 61% discount!

Case Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Gateway</th>
<th>ABPT</th>
<th>Total MVAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 1</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 2</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 3</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff 4</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Study

1. We pass Gateway
   - Highest HCE aggregate allocation: 25.6%
   - All benefiting non-HCEs must be at 6.0%
   - Since non-HCEs all at 6.5% profit-sharing, Pass!

2. Average benefits percentage test passes
   - HCE average is 12.0%
   - non-HCE average is 10.8%
   - ABPT ratio = 90% >>> Pass! (threshold = 70%)

3. We pass General Test
   - Only one rate group (12.1% and higher)
   - HCEs in rate group: 2 out of 4 >> 50% coverage
   - non-HCEs in rate group: 1 out of 4 >> 25% coverage
   - Ratio percentage = 25% ÷ 50% = 50%
   - Passing threshold = 45% >> Pass!
   - If there were no Associates, Fail!
     - Ratio = 25%; Passing threshold = 40.5%